CLARIFICATION OF ISSUES OF AUTHORITY IN MODERN ISLAMIC LAW

Qambarov A'zam Muxammadali o'g'li
International Islamic studies academy of Uzbekistan
Senior teacher of social sciences and law chair
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15706056

Abstract

This research critically examines the evolving concept of authority within modern Islamic law, particularly in the context of globalization, digital transformation, and changing socio-political dynamics. Rooted in the classical sources of Sharia—namely the Qur'an, Hadith, Ijma (consensus), and Qiyas (analogy)—Islamic legal authority has historically been guided by the ulama (scholarly elite). However, contemporary developments have profoundly reconfigured the mechanisms through which legal authority is asserted and contested across Muslim-majority societies and global diasporas.

The paper analyzes various institutional, theological, and political agents shaping modern interpretations of Islamic law, highlighting the increasing pluralism of authority. Case studies such as the decentralization of jurisprudence in the Palestinian territories, feminist reinterpretations within movements like Sisters in Islam, and the influence of digitized religious discourse illustrate how new actors are reshaping traditional boundaries of religious legitimacy. It also examines the tensions between Islamic legal norms and secular democratic principles, especially in matters related to gender rights, human rights, freedom of speech, and state sovereignty.

Furthermore, the research engages with key theoretical frameworks regarding legal pluralism, religious authority, and sociopolitical reform, and contextualizes these within historical legacies of colonialism and contemporary pressures such as radicalization and political instability. Through interdisciplinary sources, the study offers a nuanced understanding of how classical jurisprudential tools are being reappropriated or contested in modern contexts.

Ultimately, the study underscores the dynamic and often fragmented landscape of authority in contemporary Islamic law. It concludes that addressing modern legal challenges within Islamic frameworks requires a renewed interpretive effort grounded in both traditional legitimacy and responsiveness to contemporary ethical and civic realities.

Keywords: Islamic Legal Authority, sharia and modernity, legal pluralism, ulama and ijtihad, gender and islamic law, globalization and religious reform.

Introduction

Modern Islamic law's interplay between authority and interpretation presents a complex, nuanced issue, especially given today's more diverse societal landscape. Institutions like the Qur'an Printing Complex have become key figures in spreading Islamic knowledge and influencing current ideas about religious authority over time. This Complex, established by King Fahd in 1984 (1405 A.H.), has earned recognition for its dedication to reliable translations and scholarly Qur'an interpretations, showing a firm commitment to spreading Islamic teachings (Yakubovych et al.). At the same time, the wider picture of religious and political involvement has changed, particularly in liberal democracies, creating fresh obstacles related to the function of religious perspectives in public discussions and governance (Aroney et al.). This brief

introduction underscores the necessity for a critical discussion on how modern Islamic authority addresses these complicated scenarios.

Islamic law, commonly known as Sharia, is tough to pin down with one definition. It's more than just a set of laws; it includes ethical and spiritual advice taken from the Quran and Hadith. Sharia aims to guide everything in life, from how people act to how society is run. Yet, how Sharia should be understood often causes arguments, especially today. Moderate-progressive Muslims and radical fundamentalists have very different ideas. This disagreement shows a bigger fight about how much religion should influence governments and personal freedom, like we see with debates about free speech in the West. (Mahadeen et al.)(Swazo et al.) Censorship gets especially tricky when people's religious feelings are involved. This brings up questions about respecting religious beliefs versus supporting democratic ideas. All of this shows why we need to deeply understand how authority works in today's Islamic law. Contemporary critiques of media narratives often reinforce patriarchal and conservative ideologies rather than progressive discourse.

In unraveling the trajectory of authority within Islamic jurisprudence, one discovers a rather intricate dance between religious tenets and the shifting sands of sociopolitical structures. Traditionally, Islamic law—Sharia—was closely linked with religious authority. Scholars, known as ulama, possessed considerable sway in interpreting religious texts and guiding the community's moral and legal bearings. Contemporary challenges, however, surface as both moderate Muslims and more radical factions put forth somewhat different interpretations of Islamic authority and how it relates to modern governance, leading to discussions about the place of religion in public life. Rulings recently handed down by the European Court of Human Rights throw into sharp relief the tension between religious freedom and what the secular state considers important. This underscores how ingrained Western legal norms interact with what Muslim communities are claiming. These cases emphasize the historically contingent nature of legal frameworks, suggesting that discussions on authority in Islamic law must account for its historical context and its normative underpinnings, especially as it informs present-day legal interpretations (Swazo et al.)(Danchin et al.).

The role of authority is undeniably crucial in modern Islamic law, notably as legal structures adapt within varied socio-political settings. The interaction among enacted laws, Islamic jurisprudence, and traditional practices showcases the intricate nature of legal power, especially in volatile regions like the occupied Palestinian territories. Here, authority tends to become decentralized, with different legal systems mirroring distinct regional conditions and the difficulties presented by ineffective governance (Welchman et al.). This decentralization often leads to different understandings of Islamic law, especially about gender-related rights—a subject of considerable disagreement among Islamic academics. These continuing discussions highlight the conflict between more traditional Islamist viewpoints, which might reject global human rights standards as inconsistent with Islamic doctrines, and those promoting integration. Ultimately, this reveals that authority in Islamic law involves not only interpreting scripture but also adapting to modern concepts of justice and equality (Entelis et al.).

Looking at the various angles of authority within today's Islamic law, a few crucial points really stand out in how the legal system is shaped in Islamic settings. A big piece of this puzzle is seeing how statutory, Islamic, and customary law all interact, especially in places that are politically shaky, like the occupied territories. If you look at cases, say, a killing in Gaza and an

attempted assault in the West Bank, you'll see how different legal systems can come together, which shows just how complicated local governance is when legal authority is weak and there's political conflict (Welchman et al.). Moreover, recent worldwide problems, like terrorism that starts at home, have pushed countries like the United States and those in Europe to rethink how they respond legally, which puts a spotlight on the growing conversation about radicalization. This places concerns about religious freedom and state security within the larger story of modern Islamic law, making it necessary to really dig into how these things affect authority and legal thinking (Huq et al.).

To truly grasp Islamic law's application today, you have to understand where it gets its authority. Sharia, as it's known, is rooted mainly in divine texts like the Quran and Hadith; however, interpretations and local customs also play a role. There's a sort of ongoing debate in scholarly circles. Some conservative Islamists see a fundamental conflict between Islamic law and international human rights. Others, though, believe they can find common ground. Actually, some research suggests that the core ideas behind international human rights can align with Islamic legal thinking, as seen, for example, in how family laws are changing in Algeria (Entelis et al.). Also, the Palestinian territories—with their mix of statutory, Islamic, and customary laws—show just how tough it can be to bring legal authority together when you have different cultures and political views (Welchman et al.). All this complexity means we need to look closely at how authority is understood in modern Islamic law.

As the divine source of Islamic law, the Quran doesn't just function as scripture; it really guides how people should act ethically, how society should be just, and how legal decisions should be made. It's this core role that builds a framework affecting how individuals relate to their government and to each other. But, you know, interpreting and using verses from the Quran can result in different understandings. This naturally leads to important questions about who has authority in Islamic law these days. The discussions around the Egyptian constitution, for example, really highlight how today's legal systems are shaped by Quranic ideas, even as they reflect current social and political conditions (Abu-Odeh et al.). This ongoing back-and-forth between the divine text and how humans govern shows just how complex it is to stay true to Quranic authority when faced with modern legal problems (Lindholm et al.).

The influence of Hadith on the development of legal authority within Islamic jurisprudence is immense; it is an essential source of guidance, second only to the Quran. Hadith comprises the Prophet Muhammad's teachings, deeds, and tacit approvals, shaping Islamic legal theory and practice across time. This secondary source is key for understanding unclear Quranic verses and applying them in current legal settings. As Tobin and others have shown, practical ethics methods may sometimes miss different cultural contexts; this highlights how important it is to have flexible legal systems that use Hadith in careful and context-aware ways (Tobin et al.). Plus, talks about Hadith are a big part of discussions on how Islamic law and international rules, like humanitarian ideas, intersect. This suggests Islamic legal thinking could enrich legal talks worldwide (Badar et al.). Because of all this, grasping the importance of Hadith is really important if you want to understand how complex modern Islamic law is.

Generally speaking, within modern Islamic law, scholarly Consensus (Ijma) acts as a key way to decide on accepted interpretations and actions. Over time, Ijma has let legal experts agree on crucial legal points, which helps keep Islamic rules applied in a stable and consistent manner. But, as society changes and new legal issues pop up, what this agreement covers and

how much power it has gets tricky. For example, issues about what religious experts can decide show a struggle between old ideas and how democracies are run, making experts rethink if Ijma is valid and useful. How Islamic law and democracy meet brings up important questions, like, should what religious experts say matter most when making laws about money or health, which then questions how much Ijma really matters today (Jackson et al.). Also, this talk points out that experts need to handle the complex link between unchanging customs and the changing nature of how we govern today (Roy et al.).

Within Islamic jurisprudence, analogy, or Qiyas, offers a way for scholars to develop modern legal opinions based on older texts. Put simply, Qiyas is important because it lets jurists use rules from the Quran and Sunnah in new situations, helping resolve issues that arise in the modern world. Qiyas significantly shapes Islamic law today, allowing it to evolve with society while maintaining core beliefs. At the same time, different understandings of Qiyas – often shaped by culture – can result in different rulings among various schools of thought. This makes it harder to establish a single, united legal voice in Islam. Because of these differences, we need to understand both how Qiyas works and its limits in today's legal environment. These differences in how Qiyas is applied reveal deeper discussions regarding legitimacy in Islamic legal systems (Hidayati et al.)(Hidayat et al.).

Islamic scholars and their respective institutions are instrumental in guiding conversations about Islamic law in today's world. Especially as they deal with how authority works now, in a world that changes quickly. These experts usually protect religious knowledge. They also help people understand classic Islamic texts in a way that makes sense today. As highlighted earlier, many scholars connect old practices with how things are done today. This implies that the core of Islamic law doesn't change much, even when outside things affect it (Abu-Odeh et al.). Places such as the King Fahd Glorious Qur'an Printing Complex embody this role. They stress the need to correctly show Islamic ideas, and also share culture (Yakubovych et al.). With strong scholarly work and support from institutions, these groups ensure Islamic law is still relevant and based on what Muslims experience. This is important for clarifying the structure of authority when facing modern legal issues.

Generally speaking, the Ulama hold a paramount role when interpreting Islamic law, especially given modern legal frameworks are often grappling with tradition and contemporary societal needs. As custodians, they can explain legal principles pulled from the Quran and Hadith, ensuring relevance in today's rapidly changing world; this is, in most cases, a crucial task. Historically, we can see their influence back in the Ottoman reform era. In this time, Ulama endorsed state reforms that sought to reconcile Islamic tenets alongside Western legal practices, which in turn fostered a synthesis of tradition and modernization (Ersahin et al.). Furthermore, Ulamas significance is underscored in discussions about family law in Egypt, which reveals their role not just as interpreters but as pivotal players in debates on national identity and legal character (Abu-Odeh et al.). It's this dynamic interaction that highlights the Ulamas critical role navigating the complexities of authority within the modern Islamic law framework. In this way, legal interpretations stay both authentic and applicable.

The dance between religious organizations and legal power is a really important thing to consider when we talk about modern Islamic law. If you look back, you'll see that the legal folks in Islamic communities had a lot of sway; they often kept the government from getting too powerful. This goes way back to when leaders tried to grab power by controlling the courts and

legal stuff. It was a real fight over who had the right to rule and make decisions. As religious groups have gotten more say over how laws are understood and used, they've also changed the way society works and the rules we live by (Cosgel M et al.). And if you want an example of how delicate legal power can be, look at groups like ISIL. They show how legal systems can fall apart when society starts to crumble, and then the laws just don't work so well anymore (Sourgens et al.). So, to really get what's going on with legal governance in the Islamic world today, you've got to understand how much religious institutions affect legal authority.

The current discourse on Islamic law sees a notable change with fresh scholarly input, impacting how authority itself is understood and used. Thinkers such as Ziad Elmarsafy, alongside Nasr Abū Zayd, are reshaping older interpretations. They're pushing for individual moral sense and ethical thinking, moving away from strict legal definitions. You can really see this shift especially in European countries. These countries are dealing with Islam's effects on daily life and legal matters, and often, the push and pull between religious views and the freedom to speak one's mind is getting stronger (Swazo et al.). Then there are groups like Sisters in Islam, which show modern feminist thoughts getting mixed into Islamic interpretation, and this shows how those inside the community are questioning the usual rules and wanting to be a part of religious talks (Moll et al.). Essentially, these newer views add depth to the conversation on Islamic law, while also pointing out that authority can and does change in modern times.

In contemporary Islamic law, the dance between state power and religious figures plays out in different ways, especially in countries with a mix of religions. Take Bahrain, for example. There, the push and pull between the ruling powers and different religious groups shows how laws can become a stage for power struggles. Often, religious scholars will point to holy books and old traditions to justify their authority, particularly in matters of family law. The government, on the other hand, wanting to stay in charge, might pass laws that don't have much public backing (Jones R et al.). This situation shows the wider issues facing modern Islamic societies. Different understandings of Islam, some very strict and some more relaxed, challenge what the government does and lead to public debates about what people can say and how religious feelings should be handled (Swazo et al.). At the end of the day, how these different groups negotiate power shows the ongoing fight to decide what role religion should play in the laws of modern countries.

Modern Islamic law finds itself navigating a landscape where traditional authority faces significant challenges, largely due to the tension between long-held interpretations and shifting societal values. It's becoming common for scholars to examine the interplay between contemporary civilization and Islamic principles, particularly concerning democracy and human rights (Drury et al.). This examination emphasizes that Islamic authority isn't a singular entity but thrives in a space of ongoing discussion and reinterpretation. Now, while some Islamic thinkers find common ground with modern human rights ideas, this alignment often requires a careful engagement with core texts. The intellectual boundaries shaping these interpretations can vary considerably from those found in other traditions, like Confucianism (Angle et al.). Consequently, it becomes crucial to understand these nuances when aiming to reconcile traditional Islamic authority with the urgent needs of modern times, generally speaking.

Contemporary conversations showcase a growing interaction between secular ideas and Islamic legal power. This leads to complicated discussions about who Muslims are and what gives them authority. Sometimes, accepting secular stories means questioning how Islamic law has always been understood. This makes experts think about the history of legal power in Islam. These interactions can also lead to feelings of being disconnected from the Muslim community, as seen in discussions about the idea of uniting Muslims under shared religious and legal beliefs (Abu-Odeh et al.). The question of whether a "European Islam" is possible highlights the struggle to balance local customs with Islamic principles. This suggests that aiming for a liberal Islamic identity might ignore some of the deeper historical and political issues (Hesová et al.). So, secularism doesn't just affect how laws are understood; it also shapes the bigger discussion about authority in today's Islamic law.

A. The impact of globalization on traditional interpretations

Globalization, it's clear, has become a pretty big deal, changing how we usually think about Islamic law and kind of shaking up the usual rules and who's in charge within the Muslim world. When global media shows us different stories and cultures—think about those Turkish soap operas like Noor—it makes people rethink gender roles and what society expects, especially in the Arab world, which leads to new ways of seeing women's roles when we talk about Islam (Hajjaj et al.). And, you know, this clash between globalization and Islamic law also pops up in groups pushing for their own say and different takes on Islamic ideas. This makes governments struggle with what it means to have an identity and who gets to be in charge (Lubeck P et al.). All this just goes to show that globalization isn't just something happening outside the Muslim world; it's making things change from the inside, too. It's pushing scholars and people who practice Islam to figure out how to balance tradition with all the pressures of today. So, it's super important to remember the context when we're talking about authority in Islamic law right now.

Digital platforms have really shaken things up, changing how we think about authority and how info spreads and people get involved. When it comes to Modern Islamic Law, this means we need to rethink how religious ideas and legal decisions are shared and made official. Social media and online forums give everyone a chance to see lots of different opinions, which can lead to a more open discussion about religion that goes against the usual power structures. But this new situation also brings up some tough questions about controlling what people say and what platform companies should do to keep things in check. For example, a United Nations expert suggested that companies should make their speech rules match international human rights law, showing that the rules these platforms make will greatly affect how free people are to speak their minds. All of this points to how crucial it is to understand authority in Modern Islamic Law as digital platforms become more popular (Aswad et al.)(Ashton C et al.).

In areas like Aceh and Bosnia, where religious practices are more and more shaped by cultural identities, local traditions and Islamic law create a complicated situation. Aceh, with its strong Islamic faith that helps create a single local identity, still has a multicultural mix of different traditions that frequently put Islamic law's strictness to the test. Historically, local customs, known as adat, still have a big impact, which allows for religious practices to become more native even with the demands of current government (Schröter et al.). Likewise, discussions about a European Islam highlight the tension between fitting into a culture and sticking to Islamic beliefs. This shows that trying to create a single, liberal Islamic identity may

ignore how faith is really understood and changed locally (Hesová et al.). Because of this, its important to get a good grasp on these conflicts to make clear questions about power in todays Islamic law.

Conclusion

In wrapping up this look at authority in today's Islamic law, it's clear that the tricky relationship between religious knowledge and how things are run today needs a lot of thought. Islamic law in democracies faces a key problem: who gets to interpret the law versus how the government works overall. Like we talked about, Islamic law doesn't have to clash with democracy, but how authority is laid out brings up important questions about who has power and how society fits together. It's important to know that both Islamic tradition and human rights groups are dealing with tough issues, as (Angle et al.) points out. More study into how Islamic law and democracy connect shows we need deeper talks that go beyond simple stories, finding ways for real representation in government without mixing religion and political power, like (Jackson et al.) talks about.

Looking at authority in today's Islamic law, a few things really stand out about how old legal ideas and today's problems mix. Islamic law runs into some tough spots, especially where groups like ISIL mess things up, making things even more lawless and weakening who's really in charge (Sourgens et al.). This kind of situation shows a bigger problem than just political trouble; it goes back to how colonialism messed up societies and legal systems a long time ago (Zaman et al.). So, fixing things isn't just about taking back land. It's also about fixing society and legal authority, helping Islamic legal ideas do well. Putting all this together, we really need to rethink how we're helping Islamic law stay strong in our fast-changing world.

Authority in Islamic law is not a static concept; rather, it is constantly shaped by how traditional interpretations interact with the changes happening in society today. As Islamic societies see rises in literacy, more chances for economic advancement, and greater access to knowledge, people are questioning and rethinking the power given to religious texts and their interpretations. This is especially clear when we look at women's rights. Educated women are seeking religious knowledge and expressing their identities within Islamic thought, which causes people to reconsider long-held beliefs. Although a secular approach to gender equality can be hard to implement, many women in predominantly Muslim countries see Islam as a valid way to pursue social justice, expressing a need for both agency and empowerment (Khan et al.). As a result, the authority in Islamic law is not just in the hands of religious leaders anymore. Instead, it is being actively debated and redefined within communities, which is changing the conversation around human development and rights (Vizard P).

Islamic jurisprudence today wrestles with globalization's intricacies, technological progress, and varying socio-political landscapes. Therefore, future authority directions necessitate rethinking traditional interpretations, coupled with modern needs. A more dynamic approach is increasingly seen as essential by scholars and practitioners. This approach should reflect both the historical underpinnings of Islamic law and contemporary society's realities. Such evolution involves weaving together indigenous efforts within wider historical narratives from the late Ottoman Empire. New frameworks could emerge from this, better equipped to tackle present-day legal issues. As demonstrated in scholarship highlighting Ottoman agency, future discussions should go beyond the usual East versus West divide. Instead, they should pursue collaborative solutions that balance varied viewpoints on authority within Islamic

jurisprudence (SHAH et al.)(Fortna et al.). Establishing a relevant legal framework that connects with modern Muslim communities yet stays grounded in Islamic tenets makes this transition crucial.

When navigating the complexities of contemporary Islamic law, dialogue proves crucial for addressing issues of authority. Open discussions encourage a richer understanding of varied interpretations found within Islamic texts, which, in turn, supports a more inclusive form of governance. This communicative process can potentially bridge traditionalist viewpoints and modern considerations of human rights, fostering a more democratic exchange. Recent scholarly work emphasizes how the varying limitations of religious traditions can influence their stance on current values, thus underscoring the necessity for open discussions that recognize these differences (Angle et al.). The rise of legal and jurisdictional pluralism further complicates the dynamics between religion and the state. What's needed, then, is a cooperative framework that allows secular and religious views to interact in meaningful ways (Aroney et al.). After all, encouraging dialogue not only sheds light on questions of authority, but enriches the evolution of a fairer legal system in Islamic jurisprudence.

References:
Используемая литература:
Foydalanilgan adabiyotlar:

1.   Hidayati, Tri, Hidayatullah, Muhammad Syarif. "Investigating the Construction of Ijma in The Study of Islamic Law through Sociological and Historical Approach" 'Universitas Islam Negeri Ar-Raniry', 2021, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/482726499.pdf

2.   Hidayat, Enang. "Induction and Its Relevance to the Transformation of Sharia Economic Law in Indonesia: A Study of Four Madhhabs" Universitas Islam Sultan Agung, 2024, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/617875228.pdf

3.   Jackson, Sherman A.. "Shari\u27ah, Democracy, and the Modern Nation-State: Some Reflections on Islam, Popular Rule, and Pluralism" FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History, 2003, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/144226007.pdf

4.   Roy, Kristin T.. "The New York Convention and Saudi Arabia: Can a Country Use the Public Policy Defense to Refuse Enforcement of Non-Domestic Arbitral Awards?" FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History, 1994, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/144226777.pdf

5.   Welchman, Lynn. "The Bedouin Judge, the Mufti, and the Chief Islamic Justice: Competing Legal Regimes in the Occupied Palestinian Territories" 'University of California Press', 2009, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/2790275.pdf

6.   Huq, Aziz Z.. "Modeling Terrorist Radicalization" Duke University School of Law, 2010, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/62560326.pdf

7.   Hajjaj, Noura. "Beyond Critical Communication: Noor\u27s Soap Opera" DOCS@RWU, 2013, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/56704148.pdf

8.   Paul Lubeck, Ronnie Lipschutz and Erik Weeks. "The Globality of Islam: Sharia as a Nigerian 'Self-Determination' Movement" 2025, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/6759319.pdf

9.   Angle, Stephen. "CONTEMPORARY CONFUCIAN AND ISLAMIC APPROACHES TO DEMOCRACY AND HUMAN RIGHTS" SJSU ScholarWorks, 2012, doi:

https://core.ac.uk/download/70409099.pdf

10. Jackson, Sherman A.. "Shari\u27ah, Democracy, and the Modern Nation-State: Some Reflections on Islam, Popular Rule, and Pluralism" FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History, 2003, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/144226007.pdf

11. Abu-Odeh, Lama. "Egyptian Feminism: Trapped in the Identity Debate" Scholarship @ GEORGETOWN LAW, 2004, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/70375513.pdf

12. Ersahin, Seyfettin. "Islamic support on the westernization policy in the Ottoman empire : making Mahmud II a reformer Caliph-Sultan by Islamic virtue tradition" 2005, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/14500443.pdf

13. Swazo, Norman. "Against State Censorship of Thought and Speech: The "Mandate of Philosophy" contra Islamist Ideology" 2018, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/187718090.pdf

14. Danchin, Peter G. "Islam in the Secular \u3cem\u3e Nomos \u3c/em\u3e of the European Court of Human Rights" DigitalCommons@UM Carey Law, 2011, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/56354156.pdf

15. Khan, Seema, Ladbury, Sarah. "Increased Religiosity Among Women in Muslim Majority Countries" 'University of Birmingham Library Services', 2008, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/103645.pdf

16. Polly Vizard. "Antecedents of the Idea of Human Rights: A Survey of Perspectives" 2025, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/6248867.pdf

17. Mahadeen, Ebithal. "Doctors and sheikhs: "Truths" in virginity discourse in Jordanian media" 2013, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/28972448.pdf

18. Swazo, Norman. "Against State Censorship of Thought and Speech: The "Mandate of Philosophy" contra Islamist Ideology" 2018, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/187718090.pdf

19. Tobin, Theresa. "On Their Own Ground: Strategies of Resistance for Sunni Muslim Women" e-Publications@Marquette, 2007, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/213076489.pdf

20. Badar, Mohamed. "Ius in Bello under Islamic International Law" 'Brill', 2013, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/16701452.pdf

21. Yakubovych, Mykhaylo. "Translation as a Form of Da'wah: a Unique Cultural Experience of King Fahd Glorious Qur'an Printing Complex and Its influence on Islamic Ummah" Madinah Islamic University, 2011, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/12240949.pdf

22. Aroney, Nicholas. "Religious Authority in Public Spaces: The Challenge of Jurisdictional Pluralism" ResearchOnline@ND, 2017, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/213606919.pdf

23. Swazo, Norman. "Against State Censorship of Thought and Speech: The "Mandate of Philosophy" contra Islamist Ideology" 2018, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/187718090.pdf

24. Moll, Yasmin. ""People Like Us" in Pursuit of God and Rights: Islamic Feminist Discourse and Sisters in Islam in Malaysia" Virtual Commons - Bridgewater State University, 2013, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/48828403.pdf

25. Welchman, Lynn. "The Bedouin Judge, the Mufti, and the Chief Islamic Justice: Competing Legal Regimes in the Occupied Palestinian Territories" 'University of California Press', 2009, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/2790275.pdf

26. Entelis, Joelle. "International Human Rights: Islam\u27s Friend or Foe? Algeria as an Example of the Compatibility of International Human Rights Regarding Women\u27s Equality and Islamic Law" FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History, 1996, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/144225860.pdf

27. Drury, Abdullah. "Islam and contemporary civilization: evolving ideas, transforming relations [Book Review]" 'Informa UK Limited', 2012, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/29362297.pdf

28. Angle, Stephen. "CONTEMPORARY CONFUCIAN AND ISLAMIC APPROACHES TO DEMOCRACY AND HUMAN RIGHTS" SJSU ScholarWorks, 2012, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/70409099.pdf

29. Angle, Stephen. "CONTEMPORARY CONFUCIAN AND ISLAMIC APPROACHES TO DEMOCRACY AND HUMAN RIGHTS" SJSU ScholarWorks, 2012, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/70409099.pdf

30. Aroney, Nicholas. "Religious Authority in Public Spaces: The Challenge of Jurisdictional Pluralism" ResearchOnline@ND, 2017, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/213606919.pdf

31. Sourgens, Frédéric Gilles. "The End of Law: The ISIL Case Study for a Comprehensive Theory of Lawlessness" FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History, 2015, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/144231036.pdf

32. Zaman, Asad. "Islamic Economics: A Survey of the Literature" 2025, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/7299653.pdf

33. Metin Cosgel, Rasha Ahmed, Thomas Miceli. "Law, State Power, and Taxation in Islamic History" 2025, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/6776015.pdf

34. Sourgens, Frédéric Gilles. "The End of Law: The ISIL Case Study for a Comprehensive Theory of Lawlessness" FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History, 2015, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/144231036.pdf

35. Russell Jones, Sandy. "God\u27s Law or State\u27s Law: Authority and Islamic Family Law Reform in Bahrain" ScholarlyCommons, 2010, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/129586439.pdf

36. Swazo, Norman. "Against State Censorship of Thought and Speech: The "Mandate of Philosophy" contra Islamist Ideology" 2018, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/187718090.pdf

37. Abu-Odeh, Lama. "The Politics of (Mis)recognition: Islamic Law Pedagogy in American Academia" Scholarship @ GEORGETOWN LAW, 2004, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/70373601.pdf

38. Yakubovych, Mykhaylo. "Translation as a Form of Da'wah: a Unique Cultural Experience of King Fahd Glorious Qur'an Printing Complex and Its influence on Islamic Ummah" Madinah Islamic University, 2011, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/12240949.pdf

39. Aswad, Evelyn Mary. "The Future of Freedom of Expression Online" Duke University School of Law, 2018, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/213021265.pdf

40. Cathy Ashton, Jay Naidoo. "State of Civil Society 2013: Creating an Enabling Environment" CIVICUS - World Alliance for Citizen Participation, 2013, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/71361938.pdf

41. Abu-Odeh, Lama. "The Politics of (Mis)recognition: Islamic Law Pedagogy in American Academia" Scholarship @ GEORGETOWN LAW, 2004, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/70373601.pdf

42. Hesová, Zora. ""Islamic Tradition": Questioning the Bosnian Model" Digital Commons @ George Fox University, 2019, doi: https://digitalcommons.georgefox.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2133&context=ree

43. Schröter, Susanne. "Acehnese culture(s): plurality and homogeneity" 2011, doi:

https://core.ac.uk/download/14521392.pdf

44.   Hesová, Zora. ""Islamic Tradition": Questioning the Bosnian Model" Digital Commons @ George   Fox   University,   2019,   doi: https://digitalcommons.georgefox.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2133&context=ree

45.   Entelis, Joelle. "International Human Rights: Islam\u27s Friend or Foe? Algeria as an Example of the Compatibility of International Human Rights Regarding Women\u27s Equality and Islamic Law" FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History, 1996, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/144225860.pdf

46.   Welchman, Lynn. "The Bedouin Judge, the Mufti, and the Chief Islamic Justice: Competing Legal Regimes in the Occupied Palestinian Territories" 'University of California Press', 2009, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/2790275.pdf

47.   Abu-Odeh, Lama. "Egypt\u27s New Constitution: The Islamist Difference" Scholarship @ GEORGETOWN LAW, 2013, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/70375029.pdf

48.   Lindholm, Charles. "Power, Law and Blood: Sources of Patriarchy in the Middle East" 2013, doi: https://open.bu.edu/bitstream/2144/5064/1/lindholm_power_law_blood.pdf

49.   SHAH, P. "A reflection on the Shari'a debate in Britain" 2010, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/30696235.pdf

50.   Fortna, Benjamin. "Islamic Morality in Late Ottoman "SECULAR" Schools" 'Cambridge University Press (CUP)', 2000, doi: https://core.ac.uk/download/2784031.pdf