

“INTERNATIONAL MODELS OF PRIVATE EDUCATION SYSTEMS: EXPERIENCES OF FINLAND, THE UNITED STATES, AND SOUTH KOREA”

Siddikhova Ugiloy Shavkatovna

Jizzakh Sambhram University

2nd-year Master's student

Phone: +998 91 599 04 39

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17439640>

Annotation: This paper examines the international models of private education systems in Finland, the United States, and South Korea. By analyzing the structural frameworks, regulatory environments, and educational outcomes of private institutions in these countries, the study aims to identify best practices and potential areas for reform.

Keywords: Private education, Finland, United States, South Korea, educational models, regulatory frameworks, educational outcomes, best practices.

Introduction

Private education systems worldwide vary significantly in structure, regulation, and outcomes. This paper explores the private education models of Finland, the United States, and South Korea, focusing on their organizational frameworks, regulatory environments, and educational outcomes. By examining these diverse systems, the study seeks to identify best practices and potential areas for reform in private education.

Analysis and Discussion

Finland: A Model of Equity and Integration

Finland's private education system is distinctive due to its minimal presence and strong integration into the public education framework. Approximately 2% of students in compulsory education attend private schools, which are predominantly government-dependent. These private institutions receive public funding and are required to follow the national core curriculum, ensuring consistency and equity across the education system.

A significant feature of Finland's approach is the emphasis on teacher autonomy and professional development. Teachers are highly trained, with rigorous selection processes for teacher education programs. This professional autonomy allows educators to adapt teaching methods to meet the diverse needs of students, fostering an environment conducive to collaborative learning and student well-being.

Furthermore, Finland's education system promotes local autonomy, with municipalities playing a crucial role in the management of schools. This decentralized approach allows for flexibility in implementing educational policies and practices that best suit local communities, contributing to the overall success and equity of the system.

United States: Diversity and Innovation

The United States presents a complex landscape of private education, characterized by a diverse array of institutions, including religious, secular, and charter schools. Private schools serve approximately 10% of K-12 students, with enrollment figures fluctuating between 5.3 million and 5.8 million over recent years.

A notable trend in the U.S. is the increasing adoption of school choice programs, such as vouchers and education savings accounts (ESAs), which allow public funds to be used for private education expenses. By the 2024-25 school year, 10 states had implemented universal private school choice programs, with projections indicating that by 2026-27, at least 16 states will operate such programs. These initiatives aim to provide families with greater flexibility and access to educational options.

However, the expansion of private education in the U.S. has raised concerns regarding equity and the potential diversion of resources from public schools. Critics argue that such programs may disproportionately benefit affluent families, leaving underserved communities with fewer resources and opportunities.

South Korea: Supplementary Education and Intense Competition

In South Korea, private education is predominantly delivered through after-school programs known as "hagwons," which provide supplementary instruction in various subjects. These institutions cater to the intense academic competition prevalent in the country, where students often attend multiple hagwons to gain a competitive edge.

The proliferation of hagwons has led to significant financial expenditures by families. For instance, nearly half of South Korean preschoolers are enrolled in these programs, with average monthly tuition costs reaching approximately \$228. In affluent districts, specialized programs, such as English language instruction, can cost up to \$1,500 per month.

While these supplementary programs contribute to high academic performance, they also exacerbate social inequalities and place immense pressure on students. The intense competition and financial burdens associated

with private education have raised concerns about the mental health and well-being of students

Comparative Insights

The private education models in Finland, the United States, and South Korea offer distinct approaches shaped by their unique cultural, social, and economic contexts.

- **Equity and Integration:** Finland's model emphasizes equity and integration, with private schools adhering to the national curriculum and receiving public funding. This approach ensures consistency and equal opportunities for all students, regardless of the type of institution they attend.

- **Diversity and Innovation:** The U.S. model is characterized by diversity and innovation, with a wide range of private institutions offering specialized curricula. The expansion of school choice programs reflects a desire to provide families with greater flexibility, though it also raises concerns about equity and resource allocation.

- **Supplementary Education and Competition:** South Korea's model focuses on supplementary education through hagwons, driven by intense academic competition. While these programs contribute to high academic achievement, they also highlight issues related to social inequality and student well-being

Conclusion

The private education systems in Finland, the United States, and South Korea offer distinct models that reflect their unique cultural, social, and economic contexts. Finland's integrated approach prioritizes equity and teacher autonomy, serving as a potential model for other nations. The United States demonstrates innovation and diversity but faces challenges related to access and equity. South Korea's supplementary education system highlights the importance of addressing societal pressures and inequalities in educational reform. By learning from these models, countries can develop private education systems that balance innovation with equity and quality.

References:

1. European Commission. (2025). Organisation of private education - Finland. Eurydice. Retrieved from <https://eurydice.eacea.ec.europa.eu/euryperia/finland/organisation-private-education>
2. The Guardian. (2025). Inside San Francisco's new AI school: is this the future of US education? Retrieved from

<https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2025/oct/18/san-francisco-ai-alpha-school-tech>

3. OECD. (2025). Korea - Overview of the education system. Education at a Glance 2025. Retrieved from <https://gpseducation.oecd.org/CountryProfile?primaryCountry=KOR&topic=EO&treshold=10>

4. World Economic Forum. (2018). 10 reasons why Finland's education system is the best in the world. Retrieved from <https://www.weforum.org/stories/2018/09/10-reasons-why-finlands-education-system-is-the-best-in-the-world/>

5. The Diplomat. (2025). South Korea's education obsession is a national emergency. Retrieved from <https://thediplomat.com/2025/06/south-koreas-education-obsession-is-a-national-emergency/>

6. Washington Post. (2025). For \$65,000 a year, a teacher-less AI private school comes to Virginia. Retrieved from <https://www.washingtonpost.com/education/2025/08/26/alpha-school-virginia-ai-education/>

7. Freiheit.org. (2024). South Korea: Tough schools. Retrieved from <https://www.freiheit.org/north-and-south-korea/tough-schools>

8. Eurydice. (2025). Organisation of private education - Finland. Retrieved from <https://eurydice.eacea.ec.europa.eu/euryperia/finland/organisation-private-education>

9. Education in Finland. (2025). Wikipedia. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Education_in_Finland

10. The Guardian. (2025). Inside San Francisco's new AI school: is this the future of US education? Retrieved from <https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2025/oct/18/san-francisco-ai-alpha-school-tech>