ETHICAL AND TRANSFORMATIVE AI INTEGRATION IN FINNISH EDUCATION: STRENGTHENING TEACHER AI LITERACY IN THE AGE OF EDUCATION 4.0

Baltabayeva Farangiz Kamaladdin kizi
Urgench Ranch University of Technology,
Department of "World
Languages" intern teacher
Ravshanbekova Guloy Jasurbek qizi
Urgench Ranch University of Technology,
Faculty of Philology, student
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20696089

ARTICLE INFO

Qabul qilindi: 11-iyun 2026 yil
Ma'qullandi: 13- iyun 2026 yil
Nashr qilindi: 15- iyun 2026 yil

KEYWORDS

Artificial intelligence in education, teacher AI literacy, Finland, ethical AI, Education 4.0, transformative learning.

ABSTRACT

Artificial intelligence (AI) is increasingly reshaping educational systems worldwide, influencing pedagogy, assessment, governance, and lifelong learning. While many countries pursue AI-driven education reforms to enhance efficiency and personalization, these initiatives raise significant ethical, social, and pedagogical concerns. Finland, widely regarded as a global leader in equitable and learner-centered education, has adopted a cautious and human-centered approach to AI integration. However, despite strong national strategies and policy commitments to ethical AI, a critical gap remains in the AI literacy of teachers. This paper critically evaluates Finland's approach to AI and educational technology integration with a particular focus on teacher AI literacy as a prerequisite for ethical, inclusive, and transformative practice. Drawing on constructivist learning theory, socio-technical systems theory, and transformative learning perspectives, the paper analyzes Finland's policy frameworks, implementation challenges, evaluation practices, and ethical implications. The analysis reveals a tension between progressive policy rhetoric and uneven classroom-level capacity, particularly regarding data ethics, algorithmic bias, and teacher autonomy. The paper concludes by proposing recommendations for strengthening teacher AI literacy through interdisciplinary collaboration, democratic governance, and critical professional development aligned with global frameworks such as UNESCO's AI and Education guidelines and the OECD AI Principles..

Introduction

Artificial intelligence has become a defining force in contemporary educational reform. Across the globe, governments and institutions increasingly deploy AI-powered systems for

personalized learning, automated assessment, learning analytics, and educational administration. These developments are often framed within the discourse of Education 4.0, which emphasizes adaptability, digital competence, lifelong learning, and alignment with rapidly changing labor markets. At the same time, the expansion of AI in education raises profound ethical and social questions concerning equity, data privacy, surveillance, professional autonomy, and the purpose of education itself.

Finland offers a particularly compelling case for examining these tensions. Internationally recognized for its high-quality education system, strong teacher professionalism, and commitment to equity, Finland has positioned itself as a proponent of ethical, human-centered AI. National strategies emphasize AI literacy for citizens, transparency, and social well-being rather than narrow economic competitiveness. However, translating these principles into everyday educational practice remains a complex challenge.

This paper argues that teacher AI literacy is a central yet underdeveloped component of Finland's AI-in-education strategy. While Finnish teachers enjoy high levels of autonomy and trust, many lack sufficient understanding of how AI systems operate, how algorithmic decisions are made, and how ethical risks manifest in digital learning environments. Without this competence, even well-intentioned AI initiatives risk undermining core Finnish educational values.

The purpose of this paper is to critically evaluate Finland's approach to integrating AI and educational technologies, with a specific focus on the ethical and transformative implications of teacher AI literacy. The analysis applies a critical and theoretical lens grounded in global frameworks and educational theory, moving beyond descriptive accounts to assess inclusion, sustainability, and justice.

Underlying Educational Problems and Global Context

Despite its strong educational performance, Finland faces challenges common to many advanced economies. These include demographic aging, increasing cultural and linguistic diversity, and the transformation of work through automation and digitalization. Schools are expected not only to transmit knowledge but to cultivate critical thinking, adaptability, and ethical awareness in digitally saturated environments.

AI and educational technologies are often presented as tools to address these challenges by supporting personalized learning, early intervention, and flexible learning pathways. However, such framing reflects broader global trends rather than uniquely Finnish conditions. The global push toward AI readiness and digital skills development increasingly shapes national education agendas, sometimes at the expense of deeper pedagogical reflection.

Alignment with Global Frameworks

Finland's approach is closely aligned with international policy frameworks. UNESCO's *Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence* emphasizes human agency, transparency, inclusivity, and sustainability. Similarly, the OECD AI Principles advocate for trustworthy AI that respects human rights and democratic values. Finland's national AI strategy explicitly references these principles, positioning education as a key domain for ethical AI development.

This alignment strengthens Finland's normative stance but also introduces a risk of policy convergence without sufficient contextual adaptation. Ethical commitments articulated at the policy level do not automatically translate into pedagogical capacity at the classroom level.

Finland consistently frames AI as a public good rather than a market-driven solution. Educational technologies are largely introduced through public-sector initiatives and research collaborations. Nevertheless, the increasing presence of private edtech providers raises concerns about data governance, commercialization, and external influence over educational priorities.

Theoretical Foundations of AI and Technology Integration

Finnish education is deeply rooted in constructivist pedagogy, emphasizing learner agency, inquiry, and contextual understanding. In principle, AI tools are intended to support these goals by enabling differentiated instruction and formative feedback. However, constructivist theory requires educators to critically mediate learning technologies rather than adopt them unreflectively.

Finland's AI discourse frequently invokes human–AI collaboration, reflecting socio-technical systems theory. This perspective recognizes that AI systems are embedded in social, institutional, and cultural contexts. Ethical outcomes therefore depend not only on technical design but on how systems are interpreted and used by humans—particularly teachers.

Without adequate AI literacy, teachers may be unable to fulfill this mediating role, allowing algorithmic logic to shape pedagogical decisions implicitly.

Transformative Learning and Critical Digital Literacy

Transformative learning theory emphasizes critical reflection on underlying assumptions and power structures. Finland promotes AI literacy as a civic competence, yet many initiatives focus on basic awareness rather than critical engagement with ethical dilemmas, surveillance, or data exploitation. This limits the transformative potential of AI education.

Although Finland has strong digital infrastructure, implementation varies across municipalities. Local autonomy, while a strength of the Finnish system, can result in uneven access to AI-enabled tools and professional development.

Research indicates that many Finnish teachers feel insufficiently prepared to evaluate AI systems critically. This includes limited understanding of algorithmic decision-making, bias, and explainability (OECD, 2023). As a result, teachers may rely on AI-generated recommendations without fully understanding their implications.

Even under the EU's General Data Protection Regulation, educational AI systems pose risks related to data ownership, consent, and profiling. Students from minority or migrant backgrounds may be disproportionately affected by biased datasets, reinforcing existing inequities.

Many AI tools used in Finnish education are developed outside Finland, embedding external assumptions about learning, behavior, and success. Without critical AI literacy, teachers may have limited capacity to challenge these assumptions.

Evaluation of AI and Educational Technology Interventions

Finland emphasizes qualitative evaluation, pilot projects, and practitioner feedback rather than large-scale quantitative metrics. While this aligns with its assessment philosophy, it limits systematic analysis of long-term impacts.

There is limited public reporting on how AI affects different student groups. Ethical impacts, such as surveillance or automation bias, are rarely evaluated systematically.

Concerns include overreliance on automated systems and subtle erosion of teacher autonomy. These risks are acknowledged in policy discourse but not consistently monitored.

Ethical and Socio-Cultural Implications

Ethical challenges include data privacy, transparency, fairness, and the preservation of human agency. Finland's high level of institutional trust may reduce resistance to AI adoption but also dampen critical debate. Ethical alignment with global principles is strong in theory but uneven in practice.

Teacher AI literacy is central to ethical AI integration. It includes understanding algorithmic logic, data flows, bias, and the societal implications of automation. Without this competence, teachers risk becoming passive users rather than ethical stewards of AI-enabled education.

From a transformative perspective, AI literacy empowers teachers to question technological determinism, advocate for inclusive practices, and engage students in critical inquiry. Strengthening teacher AI literacy therefore supports both pedagogical integrity and democratic governance.

Recommendations for Transformative Practice:

1.Integrate AI Ethics into Teacher Education:
Embed critical AI studies into pre-service and in-service training.

2.Promote Teachers as Co-Designers:
Involve educators in the selection and evaluation of AI tools.

3.Democratize Data Governance:
Include teachers, students, and parents in data-related decision-making.

4.Interdisciplinary Collaboration:
Foster collaboration between educators, ethicists, technologists, and policymakers.

5.AI for Social Good:
Prioritize applications that enhance inclusion, well-being, and critical thinking.

Finland's approach to AI in education reflects strong ethical aspirations and a commitment to human-centered values. However, these aspirations cannot be realized without substantial investment in teacher AI literacy. Ethical and transformative AI integration depends not on technology alone, but on educators who are equipped to question, interpret, and shape AI in alignment with democratic and pedagogical principles.

References:

1. Aho, E., Hämäläinen, R., & Juuti, K. (2022). Artificial intelligence in Finnish education: Opportunities and ethical challenges. Education and Information Technologies, 27(4), 4561–4579.
2. Finnish National Agency for Education. (2020). Digitalisation and Artificial Intelligence in Finnish Education. Helsinki.
3. Long, D., & Magerko, B. (2020). What is AI literacy? Competencies and design considerations. Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, 1–16.
4. Ministry of Economic Affairs and Employment of Finland. (2017). Finland's National Artificial Intelligence Strategy 2017–2030. Helsinki.
5. OECD. (2019). Artificial Intelligence in Society. OECD Publishing.
6. OECD. (2023). Shaping the Future of Education with AI. OECD Publishing.
7. Sahlberg, P. (2015). Finnish Lessons 2.0: What Can the World Learn from Educational Change in Finland? New York: Teachers College Press.

8. Sahlberg, P., & Hasak, J. (2021). Next Big Leap in Education: Towards Transformative Schooling. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.
9. Sahlberg, P., & Hasak, J. (2021). Next Big Leap in Education. Routledge.
10. Selwyn, N. (2019). Should Robots Replace Teachers?. Polity Press.
11. UNESCO. (2021). Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence.
12. UNESCO. (2024). AI and Education: Guidance for Policy-makers.